

**LOAD RECEIVER AND LOADING STAGE FOR A BALANCE,
AND MASS COMPARATOR EQUIPPED WITH
THE LOAD RECEIVER AND LOADING STAGE**

5

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

The invention relates to a load receiver and a loading stage, and it also relates to a balance, particularly a mass comparator, that is equipped with the inventive load receiver and/or loading stage. The load receiver has an arrangement of narrow ridges and a horizontal receiving surface for a weight, with a depression or concavity so that the center of the load receiver is lower than the peripheral portions. The loading stage supports at least one weight-loading device, which can be connected to the loading stage and is shaped so that the load receiver reaches from below through the weight-loading device without touching the latter. The loading stage and the load receiver are movable up and down in relation to each other.

20

A load receiver with a funnel-shaped depression at the center as disclosed in US 5,332,870 is designed for the specific purpose of weighing flat filters. The conical surface of the load receiver consists of a net or a metal foil with perforations.

5 Loading stages are found in comparator balances for masses and volumes and serve to automatically interchange weights that are being compared to each other. This type of balance is used for the exact determination of masses and
volumes of weight standards, primarily in governmental institutes of metrology. The comparison weighings often involve weights of different sizes.

10 A mass comparator balance with a load receiver designed for weights of unequal size is described in the German utility model DE U 295 17 368. It has a freely suspended load receiver with an arrangement of narrow ridges to support the weight standards that are to be tested. A weight-exchanging mechanism has a weight-loading and -unloading plate perforated by slots. When the weight-exchanging mechanism lowers the loading/unloading plate to set a weight 15 on the load receiver, the ridges of the load receiver pass through the slots and take up the weight. The arrangement of narrow ridges of the load receiver consists of at least four branches that are joined by a connecting ridge. This design also allows a plurality of weights of different sizes to be
20 weighed together with the highest degree of accuracy.

25 It is possible that a weight comparison involves test masses of different shapes, such as spherical weights, cylindrical weights with knobs, or disc-shaped weights. This

makes it necessary to place individual weights of different shape and size in an exactly centered position on the load receiver, e.g., to limit the magnitude of eccentric loading errors as much as possible and, as an ultimate purpose, to

5 perform a precise comparison that is independent of the shapes of the masses being compared. The mass comparator according to the last-mentioned reference does not meet this requirement, based on the design of its load receiver and/or weight-changing mechanism.

10
15
20
25

OBJECT OF THE INVENTION

In view of the state of the art as described above, the present invention has the objective of proposing a load receiver for a balance, particularly a mass comparator, which, preferably in combination with a loading stage, has the capability to receive test masses of different shapes and sizes without a loss of precision due to a less than perfectly centered placement of the test weights. As a further objective, the invention aims to provide a design for a loading stage which, in cooperation with a load receiver, will put the test weights in a precisely centered position on the load receiver. In addition, the present invention has the objective of providing a mass comparator balance that

25

allows test weights of different shapes and sizes to be measured with high precision.

5

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

As a solution to the foregoing problem, the present invention proposes a load receiver for a balance with an arrangement of arms for supporting the masses to be weighed.

- 10 The load-supporting surface of the load-receiver forms a depression, sloping downward towards the center of the load receiver at an angle that varies along the load-receiver arms.
- 15 A load receiver designed according to this concept can support weights of different shapes and sizes. A weight can be centered accurately on the load receiver regardless of the shape and size of the weight, which is a significant factor in high-precision weighing.
- 20 In an advantageous embodiment of the invention, the load receiver has at least one step in its downward-sloping profile and/or at least one horizontal portion.
- 25 The top surface of the load-receiver arms is preferably also slanted perpendicular to the main direction of the

aforementioned slope. In addition, the load-receiver arms may have sideways breaks or bends.

In a preferred embodiment of the invention, the arms of
5 the load receiver are shaped like wings extending from a common root portion at the center of the load receiver. In particular, the load receiver has four wing-shaped arms arranged mirror-symmetrically in relation to a vertical plane through the center of the load-receiver. The four arms are
10 arranged to form two wing pairs, where the arms of a pair enclose an angle of less than 90°.

A preferred means for putting a weight on a load receiver with an arrangement of arms to support the weight
15 consists of a loading stage with at least one weight-placement device, where the load receiver reaches through the weight-placement device without contacting the latter as the weight-placement device and the load receiver move up and down in relation to each other. Each of the weight-placement
20 devices is shaped with a depression sloping downward towards the center of the weight-placement device and has a free space in the area of its centerpoint.

The inventive loading stage in combination with a
25 specially configured load receiver has the advantage that the weight-placement device can accommodate weights of different

shapes and sizes and that the weights can be centered more easily with a load receiver and weight-placement device that do not have a planar seating surface of the kind that is known in the prior art. In particular, this saves time in
5 the weighing process while at the same time improving the precision of the weighing, independent of the shape and size of the weight. In addition, the exact positioning of the weight when the latter is put on the weight-placement device is easier and less time-consuming in comparison to prior-art
10 devices.

A preferred embodiment of the loading stage according to the invention has one weight-placement device, which has a free cutout space between the resting points where a weight rests on the weight-placement device. The resting points on the weight-placement device are in close proximity to corresponding load-receiver seating points where the weight is seated on the load receiver as the latter reaches from below through the cutout space in the weight-placement
15 device. It is advantageous if the load receiver likewise has a top surface shaped with a depression at the center, and if the depressions of the load receiver and the weight-placement device have the same slope angles varying in the same manner along the profile of the depressions.
20

In an advantageous embodiment of the loading stage, the weight-placement device has arcuate, loop-shaped weight-placement members holding the weights. The substantially upward-facing top surface of each weight-placement member is

5 slanted towards the inside of the loop at a slant angle that can vary from the periphery toward the center of the weight-placement device. The weight-placement members can also have sideways bends or breaks, and the material width of the weight-placement members can likewise vary from the periphery

10 toward the center of the weight-placement device.

In a particularly preferred embodiment of the invention, the loading stage is configured substantially as a circular plate that is rotatably supported at its mid-portion and has

15 at least two loading locations where weight-placement devices are installed. To automate the process of comparative weighing, the loading stage is turned by a motor, and the raising and lowering is also automated. The mounting attachment of the weight-placement devices on the disc of the

20 loading stage is height- and level-adjustable.

The inventive concept is embodied in particular in a combination of a load receiver and a loading stage. The load receiver with an arrangement of arms to support the weight,

25 and the loading stage with at least one weight-placement device, are configured so that the load-receiver and the

weight-placement device do not touch each other as the load-receiver arms pass through the weight-placement device when the loading stage and the load receiver move up and down in relation to each other. The load receiver is shaped with a

5 depression that is sloped to the midpoint of the load receiver, and each weight-placement device has a depression that is centered in relation to the load receiver, when the weight-placement device is positioned to place the weight on the load receiver. Also, each weight-placement device has a

10 free break-through space in the area where the midpoint of the load receiver is located.

In the foregoing combination, the weight-placement device has two arcuate, loop-shaped weight-placement members, and the load receiver has four wing-shaped arms arranged in two pairs. When the weight-placement device is positioned to cooperate with the load receiver so that the latter passes through the weight-placement device, a wing of one pair and an adjacent wing of the other pair embrace each of the two

20 loop-shaped members of the weight-placement device.

According to the invention, it is preferred to make the loading stage, the weight-placement device and/or the load receiver either of a plastic material and/or of a metal with

25 a plastic coating.

To perform highly precise weight comparisons on test weights of different shapes and sizes, the present invention proposes a mass comparator that includes a balance with a load receiver having an arrangement of load-receiver arms to support the test weights. The mass comparator is further equipped with a loading stage with at least one weight-placement device, where the load receiver reaches through the weight-placement device without contacting the latter as the loading stage and the load receiver move up and down in relation to each other. Each of the weight-placement devices is shaped with a depression sloping to the center of the weight-placement device and has a free space in the area of its center point.

The inventive mass comparator has the advantage that its load receiver as well as the loading stage can accommodate weights of different shapes and sizes and that the weights can be centered more easily with a load receiver and weight-placement device that do not have a planar seating surface of the kind that is known in the prior art. In particular, this saves time in the weighing process while at the same time improving the precision of the weighing, independent of the shape and size of the weight. In addition, the exact positioning of the weight when the latter is put on the weight-placement device is easier and less time-consuming in comparison to prior-art devices.

In a further preferred embodiment of the mass comparator according to the invention, the weight-placement device also has a free cutout space between the resting points where a
5 weight rests on the weight-placement device. The resting points on the weight-placement device are in close proximity to corresponding load-receiver seating points where the weight is placed on the load receiver as the latter reaches from below through the cutout space in the weight-placement
10 device.

Other advantageous further developments of the mass comparator have a load receiver and/or weight-placement device shaped with a depression of varying slope angle from
15 the periphery towards the center, with the slope angles of the load receiver and the weight-placement device varying in the same manner along the profile of the respective depressions.

20 In a particularly advantageous further development of the mass comparator, the weight-placement device has arcuate, loop-shaped weight-placement members, and/or the load receiver has wing-shaped arms, with the respective shapes of the loop-shaped members and the wing-shaped arms conforming
25 to each other. Preferably, there are four wing-shaped arms in a mirror-symmetric arrangement relative to a vertical

plane through the center of the load receiver. The wings reach through the weight-placement device, with two of the wings embracing each loop-shaped weight-placement member.

5 In a preferred embodiment of a mass comparator according to the invention, the substantially upward-facing top surface of each weight-placement member and/or of each load-receiver arm is slanted perpendicular to the slope direction of the depression. The weight-placement members can also have
M10 sideways bends or breaks, and the material width of the weight-placement members can likewise vary from the periphery toward the center of the weight-placement device. In addition the weight-placement device and/or the load receiver can have steps and horizontal seating surfaces along their
M15 slopes.

A particularly preferred embodiment of the inventive mass comparator has a loading stage configured substantially as a circular plate that is rotatably supported at its mid-
20 portion and has at least two loading locations where weight-placement devices are installed. To automate the process of comparative weighing, the loading stage is turned by a motor, and the raising and lowering is also automated. The mounting attachment of the weight-placement devices on the disc of the
25 loading stage is height- and level-adjustable. The loading stage, weight-placement device and/or load receiver are made

preferably of a plastic material and/or of metal with a plastic coating. Preferably, the load-receiver frame is freely suspended.

5

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

Details of a load receiver and loading stage for a balance, particularly for a mass comparator, will be discussed in the following description of an embodiment that is illustrated in the drawings, wherein

Fig. 1 represents a perspective view from above showing how a frame-shaped carrier for the load receiver of a balance cooperates with a loading stage carrying four different weights to be tested;

Fig. 2 represents a perspective view from above of a weight-placement device according to the invention; and

20

Fig. 3 represents a perspective view of a load receiver according to the invention.

25

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

Fig. 1 illustrates a loading stage 1 with four loading locations 9 serving to automatically place test weights on a load receiver. The number of loading locations 9 could, of course, be more or less than four, but the preferred arrangement of the loading locations is in a circle at equal intervals as illustrated. The loading stage 1 consists of a substantially circular disc 2 with an opening 3 through its mid-portion 39. The disc 2 is rotatably supported on a vertical shaft (not shown) passing through the opening 3. At each of the four loading locations 9, the loading stage has a cutout 4 in the shape of a circular segment to receive a weight-placement device 5. Each weight-placement device 5 has three fastening lugs 6 that fit into recesses 7, 7' of the loading stage 1 and serve to fasten the weight-placement devices 5 to the loading stage 1. The fastenings lugs 6 pointing towards the mid-portion 39 are fastened in recesses 7' which have a defined vertical depth that defines a reference level for the weight-placement devices 5. The other two fastening lugs 6 of each weight-placement device 5 are underlaid with an elastically compressible spring element that cooperates with a fastener such as a screw 8, so that by turning the latter, the height of the respective lug can be adjusted to level the weight-placement device 5.

Fig. 1 shows a load receiver 10 cooperating with the weight-placement device 5 facing the viewer. The load

receiver 10 has two pairs of wings 11 reaching up through the open space to the front and rear of the loop-shaped members 12 of the weight-placement device 5. A small knob-handle weight 16 is shown resting on the weight-placement device 5
5 that faces the viewer. A detailed description of the weight-placement device 5 and the load receiver 10 is given below in the context of the Figures 2 and 3. The term load receiver as used in the field of weighing technology represents that part of a balance which receives the load to be weighed,
10 normally a weighing pan, but also includes any other kind of load-receiving device such as the specialized load receiver of the present invention.

The loading stage 1 is rotatable about its center for
15 transporting the weights successively into position for weighing. In addition, the loading stage can be lowered and raised to put the test weights on the load receiver 10 and to subsequently take them off again. Setting down and lifting off the same weight several times in a row is also a method
20 of centering a weight on the load receiver, as will be explained below in more detail. The load platform may be turned as well as lowered and raised automatically by a motor.

25 As shown in Fig. 1, the weights at the four loading locations 9 are of different shape and size: a spherical

weight 13, a large knob-handle weight 14, a disc-shaped weight 15, and a small knob-handle weight 16. The knob-handle weights 14 and 16 are shown lying on their sides. It has been found that the latter position is more conducive for 5 the weight to center itself. For volume determinations, which usually involve weighing the same object in air and in water (dry and wet weighing), the sideways position of cylindrical weights is preferable because it avoids the formation of air bubbles or air pockets that can occur at the
10 underside of a cylindrical weight if it is lowered into the water in an upright position, especially if the weight has a recessed portion in its bottom surface. In this context it has to be mentioned, that by using the term mass comparator, a volume comparator is regarded to be included.

15

The load receiver 10, shown cooperating with the weight-placement device 5 carrying the small knob-handle weight 16, is supported by a rectangular load-receiver frame 17 which connects to the force transducer of the balance (not shown in 20 the drawing). The opening width of the load-receiver frame 17 accommodates the segment of the disc 2 that is surrounded by the load-receiver frame 17. The upper horizontal member of the load-receiver frame 17 has a notch 36 at the center, where the load-receiver frame 17 is coupled to the force 25 transducer, e.g., by means of a hook. At the midpoint of the lower horizontal member of the load-receiver frame 17, a

load-receiver seat 20 is provided for the load-receiver 10. The design of the load-receiver frame 17 with slots 18 as shown in Fig. 1 minimizes weight while preserving an adequate degree of structural rigidity. The resulting structure of 5 the load receiver frame 17 thus consists of two rectangular frames 38 connected by transverse webs 19.

Fig. 2 gives a perspective view of an embodiment of the weight-placement device 5 as seen from above at an oblique viewing angle. A rim 21 in the shape of a circular segment 10 constitutes a supporting frame with three outward-pointing attachment lugs 6 positioned at about 120° from each other. Two weight-placement members 12, designed to hold the test 15 weights, extend horizontally from the rim 21 towards the center 23 of the weight-placement device 5, forming narrow arches 22 near the center 23 to enhance the rigidity of the weight-placement members 12. A gap is left open between the narrow arches 22 as a passage for a part of the load receiver 10 (not shown in Fig. 2). The two arcuate weight-placement 20 members 12 are not aligned with the horizontal plane of the loading stage 1 but are inclined at a downward angle, forming a depression with the lowest point at the narrow arches 22 near the center 23 of the weight-placement device 5. This design is enormously helpful in centering the weights. With 25 spherical weights 15, the seating position is positively defined; with knob-handle weights 14, 16, the seating

position is defined transverse to the cylinder axis and requires centering in the axial direction. The seating position for disc weights 15 is not defined, so that the latter need to be centered relative to more than one

5 direction.

The weights are centered by the known process of seating and raising the weight on the load receiver 10 several times in succession by moving the loading stage 1 up and down. If the weight is not perfectly centered, the load receiver frame 17 with the load receiver 10 and the weight will swing to a slightly oblique position after the loading stage 1 has been lowered, because the combined center of gravity of the load receiver frame 17 with the load receiver 10 and the test weight has slightly moved in relation to the center of gravity with an empty load receiver 10. When the test weight is re-seated on the weight-placement device 5 by raising the loading stage 1, the center of gravity of the weight will be closer to the center of the weight-placement device 5 and consequently, the weight will be centered better on the load receiver 10 when the loading stage 1 is lowered again.

The weight-placement device 5 according to the invention is designed for a secure positioning of disc weights 15, so that the latter will not sit in a tilted position. Steps 24 at the topside of the arcuate weight-placement members 12,

distanced from the center 23 in accordance with the diameter of the disc weights 15, provide horizontal resting surfaces 37 for stable positioning and preliminary centering of a disc weight 15.

5

The continuing vertical profile of the arcuate weight-placement members 12 towards the center 23 has a varying slope angle, as the upward-facing ridge surface 25 descends at an increasingly steeper downward slope towards the center

23. The ridge surface 25 may have sections of different constant slope angle, or the slope may increase continuously in a smoothly curved shape. Of course, the inclined ridges 25 may also be interrupted by additional steps 24, e.g., for the positioning of smaller-diameter disc weights 15.

15

As the ridge surfaces 25 are also slanted towards the free spaces 26 enclosed by the arcuate weight-placement members 12, a sphere 13 or a knob-handle weight 14, 16 will rest on four points of the mirror-symmetric weight-placement members 12, instead of the normal three-point support required for stable positioning of a body.

Furthermore, the horizontal direction of the weight-placement members 12 has several slight inward- or outward 25 breaks or bends in relation to the enclosed free space 26. This design ensures greater versatility for accommodating

knob-handle weights or other cylindrical weights of different height and diameter as well as spheres of different sizes.

The weight-placement device 5 is installed
5 interchangeably at its loading location 9 (see Fig. 1). The weight-placement device 5 is precisely positioned by means of the fastening lug 6, which points to the mid-portion 39 of the loading stage 1 and is attached in a recess 7' of the mid-portion 39. The segment-shaped rim 21 of the weight-
10 placement device 5 is reinforced with a cylindrical wall segment 30 which also serves as a guide in installing the weight-placement device 5 on the circular disc 2.

As illustrated in Fig. 3, the load receiver 10 according
15 to the invention has a cylindrical stem 27 with a conical point at the bottom and a support cradle 28 resembling two pairs of wings on top. Immediately below the support cradle 28, the stem 27 has a wider portion that serves as a load-receiver seat 29 for installing the load receiver 10 on the
20 load-receiver frame 17.

The two pairs of wing-shaped load-receiver arms 11 meet at the center point 32, which also represents the center of gravity of the load receiver. Each pair of arms 11 is joined
25 in a root portion 31. The arms of a pair enclose an angle of

less than 90°, and the root portions are narrowed down towards the center point 32 of the load receiver 10.

Conforming to the arcuate shape of the weight-placement

- 5 members 12 of the weight-placement device 5, the center point 32 of the load receiver 10 is at a lower level than the outer ends of the wing-shaped arms 11. The downward slope angle of the upward-facing ridge surfaces 33 becomes progressively steeper from the outer end of the arms 11 towards the center
10 point 32. At their outer ends, the ridges 33 have an exactly horizontal portion 34 for the stable positioning of disc weights 15. In comparison to the horizontal ridge sections 37 of the weight-placement device 5, the horizontal ridge portions 34 of the arms 11 can extend farther towards the
15 center point 32 of the load receiver 10. For the positioning of large disc weights there is no need for a step on the load receiver. However, if the load receiver 10 is to be used also for even smaller sizes of disc weights, the arms 11 will have to be designed with a step closer to the center point 32.
20 Like the weight-placement members 12, the remaining portions of the ridges 33 of the load receiver 10 are also slanted perpendicular to the slope direction.

Also, like the arcuate weight-placement members 12 of

- 25 the weight-placement device 5, the wing-shaped arms 11 of the load receiver 10 have at least two bends or breaks in a

horizontal plane, so that they are slightly S-shaped. In the installed state, the load receiver 10 is oriented in relation to the weight-placement device 5 so that the arcuate weight-placement members 12 extend within the obtuse angles between 5 the load-receiver arms 11. The horizontal profile of the root portions 31 of the load-receiver arms 11 conforms essentially to the shape of the members 12 of the weight-placement device 5, but leaving a small gap of about 1 to 2 millimeters. The vertical profiles, i.e., the slopes of the 10 load-receiver arms 11 and the weight-placement members 12 run about parallel to each other. Furthermore, the top surfaces of the load-receiver arms 11 as well as the weigh-placement members 12 are slanted towards the free spaces 26 inside the arcuate members 12, with an increasingly steeper slant angle 15 towards the center.

The loading stage 1, weight-placement device 5 and load receiver 10 are made preferably of a polymer material or of a metal with a polymer coating. This serves to prevent 20 oxidation of these components if they are used for wet-weighing in a volume determination.

Preferably, the load-receiver frame 17 is freely suspended, but arrangements are possible where the load 25 receiver 10 itself is suspended or in some other way connected to the balance, e.g., free-standing. Of course,

one could also design a system where the load receiver 10 is raised and lowered instead of raising and lowering the loading stage.

M487-2US

LIST OF REFERENCE SYMBOLS

- 1 loading stage
- 2 circular disc
- 3 opening
- 4 segment-shaped cutout
- 5 weight-placement device
- 6 mounting lug
- 7, 7' recesses
- 8 screw
- 9 loading location
- 10 load receiver
- 11 wing-shaped arms of the load receiver
- 12 arcuate, loop-shaped members of the weight-placement device
- 13 spherical weight
- 14 large knob-handle weight
- 15 disc-shaped weight
- 16 small knob-handle weight
- 17 load-receiver frame
- 18 slot
- 19 transverse web
- 20 load-receiver seat
- 21 segment-shaped rim
- 22 narrow arch
- 23 center of the weight-placement device
- 24 step
- 25 upward-facing ridge surface
- 26 free space
- 27 stem of the load receiver
- 28 support cradle
- 29 load-receiver seat
- 30 cylinder wall segment
- 31 root portion
- 32 center point of the load receiver
- 33 upward facing surface of the load receiver
- 34 horizontally leveled portion
- 36 notch
- 37 horizontal surface portion
- 38 rectangular frame
- 39 mid-portion